

TAJACODE Evaluation Form

* = Required Fields (fee details see section titled “Preparing Your Paper” at journal’s website:
<https://www.coa.sua.ac.tz/extension/tanzania-journal-of-community-development-tajocode>

* 1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?
*1.1. Abstract: does the abstract contain the following information: the type of literature that the article drew on, sample size, the sampling procedure, the method of data analysis, findings and recommendations?
* 2. Introduction: does the introduction call attention and clarify or define the specific topic or hypothesis that the author(s) are to discuss?; does the introduction provide background and justify a study relative to its important and the results of other studies? Does the introduction to list the objectives of the research project or to give the audience information on what the author(s) plan to accomplish in the article? Does the introduction situate the research within a broader context in the world before moving on to the local level i.e. globe, continent (Africa), region (East Africa) and country (Tanzania).
* 3. Do the author(s) state the problem or opportunity under investigation clearly and concisely? Does the problem statement observe the following format: write the background about the problem; state the problem statement; and explain the significance of the problem? Does the problem statement state a meaningful problem or opportunity about which the author have gained a better knowledge or found a solution? Does the study investigate only one problem? Does the problem Statement Include All Variables to Be Considered? Do the authors write the problem statement starting with General information about the problem and move on to specific information about the problem?
* 4. Relationship to Literature: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Is there a logical connection between the problem statement, the purpose statement, research questions, hypotheses and the literature itself? Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored? Has the literature identified and presented what is already known and unknown (gaps in the literature) about the problem area being investigated? Does the paper show

gaps in the body of knowledge, area that shows a need for new research because of methodological flaws, and conflicting studies in the topic under investigation? Does the paper outline similar studies around the globe to the local level (i.e. globe, Africa, East Africa, and Tanzania) and briefly explain the gaps in those studies that the paper is going fill?

*** 5. Methodology:** Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate? Does the methodology clearly state the sample size, the sampling procedure, and the method of data analysis?

6. Results and Discussions: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper? Does the discussion provide meaning or an interpretation of the results and shows relationships of the results with past and other recent researches? Does the paper show any unique findings in relationship to past research? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice?

7* Conclusion: authors should not introduce new information or ideas in the conclusion. Instead, conclusion should do more than summarizing key findings, by drawing together the findings of the paper in a way that establishes new knowledge, a new concept or a step forward in the argument. The following are some of the key questions: does the conclusion contains author's parting thought for the readers to remember; does it make a strong statement, one that resonates with the readers; does the conclusion ensures that any questions raised in your paper have been answered; does the conclusion summarize key arguments and logically point readers to the objective of the paper; does the conclusion answer the so what question?

*** 8. Implications for research, practice and/or society:** Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?

*** 9. Quality of Communication:** Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.

*10. Does the paper adhere to journal's guideline i.e. Structure, Title, Abstract, Introduction, Literature Review and Theoretical Framework, Methodology, Findings and Discussion, Conclusion, References, Word Limits etc			
*11. General comments			
*12. Would you be willing to review a revision of this manuscript?			
Yes (Tick in the box)		No (Tick in the box)	
*13. Recommendation (please tick)			
Accept (.....)	Minor Revision (.....)	Major Revision (.....)	Reject (.....)
*14. Confidential Comments to the Chief Editors			
*15. Comments to the Author			

Updated 14th September 2022

Website: <https://www.coa.sua.ac.tz/extension/tanzania-journal-of-community-development-tajocode>